

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/823,204	<b>Applicant(s)</b> DRESSENDORFER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> James O. Hansen	<b>Art Unit</b> 3637	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) James O. Hansen.

(2) Mr. Kenneth Green.

**Date of Interview:** 9 September 2008

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☒ No  
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
n/a

Claims discussed:  
1 & 8-14

Prior art documents discussed:  
n/a

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/James O. Hansen/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3637

**Status of Application:** In condition for allowance

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** ~

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In an effort to expedite the prosecution of the application process [compact prosecution], the examiner contacted applicant and proposed amendments to the claims [basically, clarifying the claimed language with minor amendments and cancelling non-elected claims] so as to place the application in condition for allowance. Applicant agreed to the proposed changes as outlined in the attached examiners amendment. .